

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,826	08/25/2003	Diane L. Kessler	TN273	2921
7590 11/30/2006			EXAMINER	
Unisys Corporation Attn: Michael B. Atlass			WILSON, YOLANDA L	
Unisys Way, M		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Blue Bell, PA 19424-0001			1 2113	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/647,826	KESSLER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Yolanda L. Wilson	2113			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the communication apport.	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 S	entember 2006				
2a)⊠		action is non-final.				
3)	·		secution as to the merits is			
<u>ا</u> ره	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 30-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖾						
6)⊠	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Pape	••					

Application/Control Number: 10/647,826

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 30 recites, 'a set of at least one processing modules for performing programmable processing tasks defined within a set of processing modules'. The Examiner is unsure at to what 'a set of at least one processing modules...' is because a set of consists of two or more, so the Examiner is unclear of what Applicant is trying to state with this limitation concerning the number or arrangement of processing modules because the specification states processors and multiprocessor. Also, it is unclear as to what is 'the set of processing modules' and 'a set of system processing modules' as well that is recited within claim 30.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 1-20,21-25 are allowed.
- 3. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The reason for the allowance of claims 1-20 is the inclusion of the following limitations: 'copying a first region of low memory, booting the computer system, and writing the image of memory outside the first region of low memory to a long term storage volume.' The reason for the allowance of claims 21-25 is the inclusion of the following limitations: 'a Smear program which when operated configures said computer system to allow it to prepare a long term storage to receive data contents of said computer's main memory after said computer system is restarted subsequent to said

Art Unit: 2113

freezing, a Consolidator program which when operated on a computer having access to said save data contents to allow it to organize and format said saved data content appropriated for later access and use by a debugging program.'

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25,30-33 have been considered; however, claims 30-33 have been rejected in view of the newly added limitations and their 112 2nd issues as indicated in the above rejection of those claims. The previous 112 2nd paragraph rejections, 101 rejections, canceling of claims 26-29, and objections have been acknowledged.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2113

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yolanda L. Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner Art Unit 2113